



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/925,588	08/09/2001	Thomas J. Hebda		3003
7590 05/19/2004			EXAMINER	
Robert L. Marsh P.O. Box 4468 Wheaton, IL 60189-4468			STRIMBU, GREGORY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/925,588	HEBDA, THOMAS J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner \	Art Unit
	Gregory J. Strimbu	3634
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Fe</u>		
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E		
	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) $\underline{6}$ is/are pending in the application.	,	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) 6 is/are rejected.	•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	c election requirement	
are subject to restriction and/or	ciccion requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		•
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents		-U
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority		
application from the International Bureau		scerved in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	. , , ,	eceived.
	,	
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Palent and Trademark Office	· . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of group I in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. Accordingly, claims 8-10 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The claim is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Recitations such as "a electric second motor" on line 18 of the claim render the claim indefinite because they are grammatically awkward and confusing. Also see "to rotating" on line 20 and "one of a said unlatching device" on line 27.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The claim is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schoelkopf in view of Rohroff and Geiss. Schoelkopf discloses a kit comprising a

attachable to the other of the door and the wall, the moveable means driven by a first motor 36, the moveable means for applying force to the door for moving the door from the ajar position to the closed position and for applying force to the door for moving the door from the closed position to the ajar position, the moveable means spaced from the connector when the door is in the open position and engaged with the connector when the door is in the ajar position and the closed position, a door operator (not numbered, but shown in figure 1) having a linkage 18 attachable between the door and the wall, the door operator for moving the door from the ajar position to the open position, the door operator drive by a second motor 24. Schoelkopf is silent concerning electric motors, an unlatching device and electronic means.

However, Rohroff discloses a kit comprising a second electric motor 24 for a door operator, an unlatching device 98 attachable to the door 12 and the handle 20, the unlatching device for rotating the handle and retracting the bolt, the unlatching device not requiring attachment to the wall, the unlatching device operated by a third electric motor (not shown), electronic means 82 for controlling the second motor and the unlatching device for coordinating the unlatching of the door with the door operator for providing unified movement of the door between the closed and latched position and the open position.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide

Schoelkopf with electrical operation and a latching device, as taught by Rohroff, to

improve the reliability of the kit and to provide for security when the door is in the closed position.

Additionally, Geiss discloses a kit comprising a first electric motor 40 for operating a moveable means 34 and an integral power source (not numbered, but shown as the battery in figure 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Schoelkopf with an electric motor and integral power source, as taught by Geiss, to improve the reliability of the kit and to provide a backup power source for the kit.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 26, 2004 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. The applicant has amended claim 6 to include the further limitation of a first electric motor and an unlatching device. See claim 6, lines 9 and 19-22. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Strimbu whose telephone number is 703-305-3979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory J. Strimbu Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3634

May 17, 2004